Robert B. Van Wyck
Bar No. 007800
Chief Bar Counsel
STATE BAR OF ARIZONA
4201 N. 24th Street, Suite 200
Phoenix, Arizona 85016-6288
(602) 252-4804

IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of PETITION TO AMEND THE ARIZONA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 8(h)(1) and RULE 84 Supreme Court No. R-08-0008

Comments of the State Bar of Arizona Regarding Petition to Amend Rules 8(h)(1) and 84 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure

The State Bar of Arizona ("the State Bar") supports petitioner's Petition insofar as it proposes adoption of a standard form of civil cover sheet for use in all civil actions filed in the Superior Court. For those reasons noted below, however, the State Bar (1) opposes amendment of Rule 84 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure to add the civil cover sheet as a new form, (2) proposes alternative amendments to Rule 8(h)(1) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, and (3) suggests revisions to the form of civil cover sheet proposed by petitioner.

The State Bar's position is guided and informed by its understanding that civil cover sheets are primarily intended to assist the Clerks of the Superior Court and their personnel with data entry, the generation of statistical data for use in the management and tracking of cases, and reporting of statistical information relating to cases.

I. Opposition to Inclusion of Civil Cover Sheet as a Form in Rule 84.

The State Bar of Arizona perceives a need to maintain flexibility with respect to the specific information required to be set forth on a civil cover sheet. As new causes of action are created (i.e., actions brought pursuant to A.R.S. § 23-212, et seq.), new case designations recognized (i.e., Rule 8(i) Complex Civil Litigation Program Designation), and additional classes of data identified as useful for statistical analysis, the form of civil cover sheet used by the Superior Court should be revisited and revised periodically to accommodate the same. Inclusion of a civil cover sheet in the forms set forth within Rule 84 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure will unnecessarily restrict flexibility in the form of civil cover sheet by requiring an amendment to the Rules of Civil Procedure, and compliance with the procedures required to amend the form, each time a need for revision arises.

Rather than including the civil cover sheet as a form in Rule 84, the State Bar suggests that Rule 8(h) expressly provide that the Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC") may prescribe a form of civil cover sheet to be completed and filed with the initial complaint in any civil action. Any currently-approved form of civil cover sheet could be made available on the Superior Court web site for each county and the Supreme Court's website. By having the form of civil cover sheet approved by the AOC, statewide consistency as to the form of the cover sheet could be ensured. Likewise, desired flexibility as to the substance of the civil cover sheet would be maintained by not including the sheet within the forms set forth in Rule 84 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

II. Alternative Proposed Amendment to Rule 8(h)(1).

Petitioner has proposed specific alterations to Rule 8(h)(1). Aside from revision to these proposed alterations required to address the State Bar's concerns identified above, the State Bar recommends several additional revisions to the proposed amendment. Specifically, petitioner's proposed amendment specifies various classes of information to be contained on a civil cover sheet. The State Bar perceives no reason to specify within the text of Rule

8(h)(1) the types of data to be included in a civil cover sheet, and suggests that the Rule be purposefully left silent on this issue so as to provide the AOC with additional flexibility in specifying the categories of information required by the form. Additionally, the State Bar recommends amending the title of Rule 8(h)(1) to include a reference to civil cover sheets.

Attached as Exhibit A is a red-lined version of petitioner's proposed revisions to Rule 8(h)(1), which reflects the State Bar's suggestions set forth above.

III. Suggested Revisions to Petitioner's Proposed Form of Civil Cover Sheet.

Petitioner has included a proposed form of civil cover sheet at Exhibit B to its Petition. As noted earlier, the State Bar believes it unnecessary and imprudent to include this form within Rule 84 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. Nonetheless, if this Court disagrees, the State Bar suggests that the form be revised in the following respects:

- A. <u>Grammatical Errors</u>. Petitioner's proposed form contains a grammatically improper use of an apostrophe in each parenthetical following the spaces provided for "Plaintiff's Name(s):" and "Defendant's Name(s):". The apostrophes in each of "additional plaintiff's" and "additional defendant's" should be removed.
- B. <u>File Stamp Box</u>. Petitioner's proposed form includes a block for "File Stamp" at the top, right corner of the page. The State Bar understands that civil cover sheets are used for data entry into the Superior Court's case management system, and are neither part of the record of the court nor placed in the court's file for any particular case. As such, this "File Stamp" block should be removed from the form.
- C. <u>Subcategory Codes.</u> Petitioner's proposed form does not include any subcategory codes for use in identifying the nature of a case. Such three-digit numerical codes are currently utilized by the Superior Court in Maricopa County to track and report on cases within each category through an automated system and allow "case differentiation" for use in case management by Civil Court Administration personnel. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is the current form of civil cover sheet required by the Superior Court in Maricopa

County, which form reflects present use of these numerical subcategory codes. The State Bar believes that any form civil cover sheet adopted for statewide use in the Superior Court should retain these subcategory codes.

D. Amount in Controversy Section. Petitioner's proposed form includes a section in which a party may specify the amount in controversy in a matter, if alleged, including designation as to the amount of compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees sought by that party. The State Bar notes that inclusion of any specific amount of punitive damages in this block may be inconsistent with Rule 8(g) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, which bars plaintiffs from specifying the amount of damages sought unless they are for a sum certain or can by computation be made certain. Moreover, parties are rarely, if ever, able to specify at the time of case initiation the amount of attorneys' fees they seek. The current form of civil cover sheet required by the Superior Court in Maricopa County contains no amount in controversy section (see Exhibit B), and the State Bar understands that the information set forth in this section of the proposed form would not be utilized by the Superior Court for any purpose. As such, the State Bar recommends that any form civil cover sheet adopted for statewide use in the Superior Court not include an amount in controversy section.

IV. Conclusion.

The State Bar supports petitioner's effort to achieve statewide uniformity with respect to the substance of civil cover sheets and, subject to the specific comments and recommendations set forth here, supports amending Rule 8(h)(1) to provide the AOC with authority to adopt a form for statewide use. The State Bar opposes the Petition insofar as it seeks amendment to Rule 84 to include a form of civil cover sheet.

1	
1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st of April 2008.
2	Al WI
3	Robert B. Van
4	Chief Bar Cour STATE BAR O
5	4201 N. 24th S
6	Phoenix, Arizo (602) 252-4804
7	
8	
9	
10	Clerk of the Supreme Court of Arizona
11	this 1^{st} day of April 2008.
12	By: Cathlan J. Lundgren
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	₹

25

26

Robert B. Van Wyck Chief Bar Counsel STATE BAR OF ARIZONA 4201 N. 24th Street, Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-6288 (602) 252-4804

Exhibit A

The State Bar's Suggested Modifications to Petitioner's Proposed Amendments to Rule 8(h)(1).

- Petitioner's proposed additions shown by underscoring (e.g., <u>plaintiff</u>) and deletions shown by strike-through (e.g., <u>plaintiff</u>)
- The State Bar's proposed additions are shown in bolded italic (e.g., *plaintiff*) and deletions shown by strike-through of petitioner's underscored additions (e.g., <u>plaintiff</u>)

Rule 8(h). Civil Cover Sheets; Classifications of Civil Actions

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Counsel for plaintiff or petition shall describe in the caption of each (1) complaint or petition filed with the court the nature of the civil action or proceeding, as follows: Tort Motor Vehicle, Tort Non-Motor Vehicle, Contract, Domestic Relations, Eminent Domain or Non-classified Civil, Writ of Garnishment. When At the time of filing the initial complaint or petition in a civil action, the plaintiff or petitioner shall complete and file a Civil Cover Sheet in a the form prescribed by the Administrative Office for the Courts. set forth in Rule 84. The administrative director may revise or approve requested alterations in the form set forth in Rule 84. The administrative director may revise or approve requested alternations in this form. The Civil Cover Sheet shall contain the following: plaintiff(s) correct name and mailing address; the name of plaintiff's attorney and bar number; the defendant's name(s), the nature of the civil action or proceeding and such other information as the court may require. The superior court in each county may attach an additional page to the Civil Cover Sheet, if necessary, for supplementary information-such as other case types or indication of the complexity of a case.

Exhibit B

In The Superior Court of the State Of Arizona In and For the County Of Maricopa

Cause Number	For Official Use O	nly
File Date		

CIVIL COVER SHEET		File Date				
Plaintiff's Name (List additional Plaintiffs	on page 2)	Plaintiff's Attorney (Name and Bar Number)				
Plaintiff's Address						
Defendant's Name (List additional Defendant's on page 2)						
EMERGENCY ORDER SOUGHT:	RO 🗆 Pro	ovisional Remedy				
REASON FEES NOT PAID:						
DIRECTIONS: You must complete both Section I and Section II below. Section II continues on the 2 nd page.						
SECTION I. COMPLEXITY						
Place an "X" in the box of no less than on	e of the followin	ng:				
This case: Involves Antitrust/Trade Regulation Involves Construction Defect w/many parties or structures Involves Mass Tort Involves Securities Litigation w/many parties Involves Environmental/Toxic Tort w/many parties Involves Class Action Claim(s) Involves Insurance Coverage Claims arising from the above-listed case types Is a Complex Civil Case as defined by Rule 8(i), ARCP Does not involve any of the above						
SECTION II. NATURE OF ACTION						
Place an "X" in the box next to the number	that describes	the nature of the case. Please check ONE nature of action ONLY .				
100 TORT MOTOR VEHICLE ☐ 101 Non Death Injury ☐ 102 Property Damage ☐ 103 Death		120 MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ☐ 121 Physician – MD ☐ 122 Physician – D.O. ☐ 123 Hospital ☐ 124 Other (Specify)				
110 TORT NON-MOTOR VEHICLE 111 Negligence 112 Products Liability 113 Intentional 114 Property Damage 115 Legal 116 Other (Specify) (select one)		130 CONTRACTS ☐ 131 Account (Open or Stated) ☐ 132 Promissory Note ☐ 133 Foreclosure ☐ 134 Other (Specify) ☐ 135 Real Property Excess Proceeds 136-137 Construction Defects (Residential/Commercial)				
(action one)		☐ 136 One to Nineteen Structures ☐ 137 Twenty or More Structures				

Page 1 of 2

Form #204 LRD 11/28/2007 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED © Clerk of Superior Court of Arizona in Maricopa County

NATURE OF ACTION - Continued

140 APPEAL or REVIEW - Use Clerk of court's LC Appeals Coversheet

150 – 177 OTHER CIVIL					
☐ 150 Property Tax (A.R.S. §12-163(b)) (ALL other tax matters must be filed in the AZ Tax Court) ☐ 151 Forcible Detainer ☐ 152 Change of Name	☐ 165 Tribal Judgment ☐ 167 Structured Settlement (A.R.S. §12-2901) ☐ 169 Attorney Conservatorships (filed by the AZ State Bar) ☐ 170 Unauthorized Practice of Law (filed by the AZ State				
Bar) ☐ 153 Transcript of Judgment ☐ 154 Foreign Judgment ☐ 155 Declaratory Judgment ☐ 156 Eminent Domain ☐ 157 Habeas Corpus ☐ 158 Quiet Title ARCP 30(h))	 ☐ 171 Out of State Deposition for Foreign Jurisdiction (Commissions/Letters Rogatory ARCP 28(a)(b)) ☐ 172 Secure Attendance of prisoner ☐ 173 Assurance of Discontinuance ☐ 174 In State Deposition for Foreign Jurisdiction (Issuance of Subpoena/Subpoena Duces Tecum per 				
☐ 159 Restoration of Civil Rights ☐ 160 Forfeiture ☐ 161 DES Instant Judgment ☐ 162 Harassment ☐ 163 Other (Specify)	☐ 175 Election Contest ☐ 176 Eminent Domain – LIGHT RAIL ONLY ☐ 177 Interpleader – AUTOMOBILE ONLY ☐ 178 Delayed Birth Certificate (A.R.S. §36-333.03) ☐ 179 Employer Sanction Action (Valid after 01/01/08) (A.R.S. §23-212/ARCP 65.2)				
To the best of my knowledge, all information is true and correct.					
	Signature of Attorney or Plaintiff				
ADDITIONAL PLAINTIFF(S):					
ADDITIONAL DEFENDANT(S):					
NOTIOE					

NOTICE

PLEASE DO NOT INCLUDE THIS FORM WITH CASES THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN FILED. This form can only be processed at the time of filing New Complaints and Petitions.

Thank you for assisting us with our efforts to improve service.

Page 2 of 2

Form #204 LRD 11/28/2007 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED © Clerk of Superior Court of Arizona in Maricopa County